for one day. Was he kind enough to go there and enquire?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Minister went.

SHRI MOTURU HANUMANTHA RAO: Why has he taken so much of pains in response to certain Congressmen?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is not the point.

SHRI MOTURU HANUMANTHA RAO: This incident was condemned by the whole of India.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am not allowing that point.

SHRI MOTURU HANUMATHA RAO: The whole of India has condemned the demonstration by the Youth Congress-I.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Minister went to Andhra. I have allowed, not twice but 3 to 4 times questions on the Andhra situation. So, don't cite examples of this kind. If the Home Minister went there, it was good.

श्री मोहम्मद खलीलुर रहमान : यह तो होम मिनिस्टर साहब से पूछ रहे हैं । यह किसी ने डिनाई नहीं किया आपने तो बराबर मौका दिया । यह होम मिनिस्टर साहब से पूछ रहे हैं कि क्या आप हैदराबाद गये और जाकर मालूम किया कि क्या हुआ ।

†[شری محمد خلیل الرحمٰن : یہ تو ہوم منسٹر صاحب سے پوچھ رہے ہیں۔ یہ کسی نے سنا ہی نہیں کیا آپنے تو برابر کہا دیا۔ یہ ہوم منسٹر صاحب سے پوچھ رہے ہیں کہ کیا آپ حیدرآباد گئے اور جاکر معلوم کیا کہ کیا ہوا۔]

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have also allowed three times. Don't make wrong statements on the floor of the House.

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA (Uttar Pradesh): When Mr. Nanda was the Home Minister, he went similarly when there was a *gherao*.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If the Home Minister went, why should you object? He went to see the law and order situation. He can go anywhere he likes. He is the Home Minister of the country.

† [ ] Transliteration in Arabic Script.

SHRI JAGMOHAN (Nominated): The point is, if the mob was using bombs, and even if it is true, it is all the more reason that a judicial inquiry should be held to find whether bombs were used, because if bombs were used, that shows the failure of the intelligence agencies that they did not know that the crowd was carrying bombs. And the other need for a judicial inquiry is that there is administrative failure to control the mob, to make proper arrangements after they had some time and some notice for it when proper barricading could have been done. Proper equipment should have been supplied. Sufficient deployment should have been there.

Madam, so far as I know, there was an agreement in the Chief Ministers' conference sometime ago that whenever there was any serious rioting, a judicial enquiry should be held so that such things do not recur. You get some lessons out of it in regard to administrative failure or other things. Then, on that basis, suggestions could be made to the State Governments to avoid such mistakes in future. In fact, there was one circular issued that you should first use rubber bullets, you should use water-hoses, that you should not resort to firing in the very beginning. If there are proper arrangements like barricading and other things, this type of killing could be avoided, in spite of the fact that the mob was indulging in violence.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, it is over. We now take up the Government Motion on drug policy. The hon. Minister, Mr. Eduardo Faleiro, to move the motion.

Motion for consideration of Background Note on Review of Drug Policy, 1986

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILISERS (SHRI EDUARDO FALEIRO): Madam, I move:

> "That the background note on review of drug policy, 1986, which was laid on the Table of the Rajya Sabha on 12th August, 1992, be taken into consideration."

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The Minister has moved the motion. He will make the speech tomorrow.